



TRIPLE REVERS EDERIVATION SONS EMIPRIME RINGS

C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY, S. MALLIKARJUNA RAO & S. VASANTHA KUMAR

Department of Mathematics, S. V. University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove that if d is a Jordan reverse derivation of a semiprime ring R , then d is a quarter reverse derivation. Using this, we show that d is a triple reverse derivation.

KEYWORDS: Semi Prime Ring, Jordan Reverse Derivation, Triple Reverse Derivation, Quarter Reverse Derivation, Center

INTRODUCTION

Herstein[1] studied Jordan derivations of prime rings and proved that every Jordan derivation on a prime ring of char. $\neq 2$ is a derivation and also studied reverse derivations of primerring s.K. Suvarna and D. S. Irfana[3] studied some properties of Jordan derivations on semi prime rings.

We know that an additive mapping $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a Jordan reverse Derivation if $d(x^2) = d(x)x + xd(x)$ for all x in R . An additive map d from a ring R to R is a triple reverse derivation if $d(xyx) = d(x)yx + xd(y)x + yxd(x)$ hold, for all $x, y \in R$ and d is a quarter reverse derivation if $d(xyzx) = d(x)xyz + xzd(y)x + d(z)xy + xzyd(x)$ hold, for all $x, y \in R$. Throughout this Paper, R will de not easemi prime ring and Z its center.

Lemma 1: Let R be any ring and let $T(a) = \{r \in R / r(ax - xa) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in R\}$ for all $a \in R$. Then $T(a)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .

Proof: Clearly $T(a)$ is a left ideal of R . It remains to show that if $u \in T(a)$, $x \in R$, then $ux \in T(a)$. But then, for all $r \in R$, $u(ar - ra) = 0$.

Thus $u\{(ax - xa)r + x(ar - ra)\} = 0$. Since $u \in T(a)$, $u(ax - xa) = 0$, and so we have that $ux(ar - ra) = 0$, for all $r \in R$. Then $ux \in T(a)$. Hence the Lemma is proved.■

Lemma 2: If R is a prime ring and if $a \in R$ is not in Z , the center of R , then $T(a) = (0)$.

Proof: Since $a \notin Z$, for some $b \in R$, $ab - ba \neq 0$. If $T(a) \neq (0)$, then $T(a)(ab - ba) = (0)$. So, the right ideal $T(a)$ is annihilated by an on-zeroelement. By the definition of a prime ring, either $T(a) = (0)$ or $ab - ba = 0$. Since $a \notin Z$, $ab - ba \neq 0$. So, $T(a) = (0)$. ■

Now, we prove the following results:

Theorem 1: If d is a Jordan reversed erivation of a semi prime ring R , then d is a quarter reverse derivation, that is, $d(abca) = d(a)abc + acd(b)a + ad(c)ab + acbd(a)$, for all $a, b, c \in R$.

Proof: We have $d(a^2) = d(a)a + ad(a)$

We replace a by $a + bc$. Then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d(abc + bca) &= d(abc) + d(bca) \\ \Rightarrow d(abc + bca) &= d(c)ba + cd(b)a + \\ bcd(a) + d(a)cb + ad(c)b + cad(b), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for all $a, b, c \in R$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d(abc + bca) &= d(c)ab + cd(b)a + cbd(a) + \\ d(a)bc + ad(c)b + acd(b), \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for all $a, b, c \in R$

By equating equ.'s (1) and (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d(c)ba + bcd(a) + \\ d(a)cb + cad(b) &= d(c)ab + cbd(a) + d(a)bc + acd(b), \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for all $a, b, c \in R$

Consider $W = d((abc + bca)a + a(abc + bca))$

$$= d(a)(abc + bca) + ad(abc + bca) + d(abc + bca)a + (abc + bca)d(a)$$

From equ.(2) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &= d(a)abc + d(a)bca + a[d(c)ab + cd(b)a + cbd(a) + d(a)bc + ad(c)b + acd(b)] + [d(c)ab + cd(b)a + cbd(a) + \\ d(a)bc + ad(c)b + acd(b)]a + abcd(a) + bcad(a) \\ \Rightarrow W &= d(a)abc + 2d(a)bca + ad(c)ab + 2acd(b)a + acbd(a) + \\ ad(a)bc + a^2d(c)b + a^2cd(b) + d(c)aba + cd(b)a^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow W &= d((abc + bca)a + a(abc + bca)) \\ \Rightarrow W &= d(2abca + bca^2 + a^2bc) \\ &= 2d(abca) + d(a^2)bc + a^2d(bc) + d(c)a^2b + cd(a^2b) \\ \Rightarrow W &= 2d(abca) + d(a)abc + ad(a)bc + a^2d(c)b + \\ a^2cd(b) + d(c)a^2b + cd(b)a^2 + cbd(a)a + cbad(a) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By comparing equ.'s (4) and (5), for W , we obtain,

$$\Rightarrow 2d(a)bca + ad(c)ab + 2acd(b)a + acbd(a) + d(c)aba + ad(c)ba + abcd(a) + bcad(a) = 2d(abca) + d(c)a^2b + c \\ bad(a)$$

From the equality given in equ.(3), we have,

$$\Rightarrow 2d(a)abc + 2ad(c)ab + 2acd(b)a + 2acbd(a) = 2d(abca) \\ \Rightarrow d(abca) = d(a)abc + acd(b)a + ad(c)ab + acbd(a).$$

Theorem 2: If d is a Jordan reverse derivation of a semi prime ring R , then d is a triple reverse derivation, that is, $d(aba) = d(a)ba + ad(b)a + bad(a)$, for all $a, b, c \in R$.

Proof: We have $d(a^2) = d(a)a + ad(a)$

We replace a by $a + b$, then we get,

$$\Rightarrow d(ab + ba) = d(ab) + d(ba) \\ = d(b)a + bd(a) + d(a)b + ad(b), \text{ for all } a, b, c \in R. \dots \quad (6)$$

Consider $W = d((ab + ba)a + a(ab + ba))$.

$$= d(a)(ab + ba) + ad(ab + ba) + d(ab + ba)a + (ab + ba)d(a) \\ = d(a)ab + d(a)ba + a[d(b)a + bd(a) + d(a)b + ad(b)] + [d(b)a + bd(a) + d(a)b + ad(b)]a + abd(a) + bad(a) \\ = d(a)ab + d(a)ba + ad(b)a + abd(a) + ad(a)b + a^2d(b) + d(b)a^2 + bd(a)a + d(a)ba + ad(b)a + abd(a) + bad(a) \\ \Rightarrow W = d(a)ab + 2d(a)ba + 2ad(b)a + 2abd(a) + ad(a)b + \\ a^2d(b) + d(b)a^2 + bd(a)a + bad(a). \dots \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, we get,

$$\Rightarrow W = d((ab + ba)a + a(ab + ba)) \\ = d(ba^2 + a^2b + 2aba) \\ = d(a^2)b + a^2d(b) + d(b)a^2 + bd(a^2) + 2d(aba) \\ \Rightarrow W = d(a)ab + ad(a)b + a^2d(b) + \\ d(b)a^2 + bd(a)a + bad(a) + 2d(aba). \dots \quad (8)$$

By comparing equ.'s (7) and (8) for W , we obtain,

$$\Rightarrow d(a)ab + 2d(a)ba + 2ad(b)a + 2abd(a) + ad(a)b + a^2d(b) + d(b)a^2 + bd(a)a + bad(a) = d(a)ab + ad(a)b + a^2d(b) +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(b)a^2 + bd(a)a + bad(a) + 2d(aba) \\
\Rightarrow & 2d(a)ba + 2ad(b)a + 2bad(a) = 2d(aba) \\
\Rightarrow & 2[d(a)ba + ad(b)a + bad(a)] = 2[d(aba)] \\
\Rightarrow & d(a)ba + ad(b)a + bad(a) = d(aba) \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

We linearize the results of Theorem: 2 by replacing a by $a + c$, we arrive at

Theorem 3: For all $a, b, c \in R$,

$$d(abc + cba) = d(a)bc + d(c)ba + ad(b)c + cd(b)a + bad(c) + bcd(a)$$

Theorem 4: For all $a, b \in R$, $(d(a)b + ad(b))(ba - ab) - (ba - ab)d(ab) = 0$.

Proof: Consider $W = d(ab(ab)) + (ab)ba$

By using Theorem:3, with $c = ab$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow W &= d(ab)ab + (ab)d(ab) + d(ba)(ab) + bad(ab) \\
&= d(ab)ab + (ab)d(b)a + (ab)bd(a) + d(a)b(ab) + ad(b)(ab) + bad(ab)
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\text{However, } W = d(ab(ab)) + ab^2a$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= d(ab(ab)) + d(ab^2a) \\
&= d(ab)ab + (ab)d(ab) + d(b^2a)a + b^2ad(a) \\
\Rightarrow W &= d(ab)ab + (ab)d(ab) + d(a)b^2a + \\
&\quad ad(b)ba + abd(b)a + b^2ad(a).
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

W can also be written as,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow W &= d(ab(ab)) + d(ab^2a) \\
&= d(ab)ab + (ab)d(ab) + d(a)ab^2 + ad(ab^2) \\
\Rightarrow W &= d(ab)ab + (ab)d(ab) + d(a)ab^2 + \\
&\quad ad(b)ba + abd(b)a + ab^2d(a).... \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

By equating equ.'s (10) and (11), we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow d(a)b^2a + b^2ad(a) &= d(a)ab^2 + ab^2d(a) \\
\Rightarrow d(a)b^2a &= d(a)ab^2 \text{ and also, } b^2ad(a) = ab^2d(a) \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

By comparing equ.'s (9) and (10) for W , we obtain,

$$\Rightarrow d(ab)ab + (ab)d(b)a + (ab)bd(a) + d(a)b(ab) + ad(b)(ab) + bad(ab) = d(ab)ab + (ab)d(ab) + d(a)b^2a + ad(b)ba + abd(b)a + b^2ad(a)$$

By equ.(12), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (ab)bd(a) + d(a)b(ab) + ad(b)(ab) + bad(ab) &= (ab)d(ab) + d(a)b^2a + ad(b)ba + ab^2d(a) \\ \Rightarrow d(a)b(ab) + ad(b)(ab) + bad(ab) &= (ab)d(ab) + d(a)b^2a + ad(b)ba \end{aligned}$$

By transposing and collecting terms, it follows that

$$\Rightarrow (d(a)b + ad(b))(ba - ab) - (ba - ab)d(ab) = 0 . \blacksquare$$

Theorem 5: If R is a semi prime ring of char. $\neq 2$, then any Jordan reverse derivation of R is an ordinary reverse derivation of R . \blacksquare

Theorem 6: Let R be a semi prime ring of char. $\neq 2$ and d is a Jordan reverse derivation of R . If d acts as an anti-homomorphism of R , then d is a central derivation.

Proof: Since d acts as an anti-homomorphism, we have,

$$d(xy) = d(y)d(x) \dots \quad (13)$$

From Theorem 5, we have,

$$d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \text{ Then}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(y)d(x) = d(y)x + yd(x) \dots \quad (14)$$

By substituting zy for y in equ.(14), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} d(zy)d(x) &= d(zy)x + zyd(x) \\ \Rightarrow d(zy)d(x) &= d(y)d(z)x + zyd(x) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R \dots \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

Since d is an anti-homomorphism.

On the other hand, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(zy)d(x) &= d(y)d(z)d(x) \\ &= d(y)d(xz) \\ &= d(y)[d(z)x + zd(x)] \\ \Rightarrow d(zy)d(x) &= d(y)d(z)x + d(y)zd(x) \dots \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

From equations (15) and (16), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y)d(z)x + zyd(x) &= d(y)d(z)x + d(y)zd(x) \\ \Rightarrow zyd(x) &= d(y)zd(x) \dots \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

Put $y = xy$ in equ.(17) and using equ.(17)

$$\begin{aligned} zxyd(x) &= d(xy)zd(x) \\ &= d(y)xzd(x) + yd(x)zd(x) \\ \Rightarrow zxyd(x) &= zxyd(x) + yd(x)zd(x) \\ \Rightarrow yd(x)zd(x) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

If we place z by ry , then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} yd(x)ryd(x) &= 0, \text{ for all } r \in R \\ \Rightarrow yd(x)Ryd(x) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By semi primeness of R , $yd(x) = 0$.

$$d(x) = 0$$

Put $x = y$ implies $d(y) = 0$.

If we multiply with $[x, y]$ from the left hand side, then we get,

$$[x, y]d(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in R \quad \dots \dots \quad (18)$$

We replace x by yz in equ.(18) and using equ.(18), then

$$\begin{aligned} [xz, y]d(y) &= 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R \\ \Rightarrow [x, y]zd(y) &= 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in R \quad \dots \dots \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

On the otherhand, a linearization of equ.(18) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y + u]d(y + u) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow [x, y]d(y) + [x, y]d(u) + [x, u]d(y) + [x, u]d(u) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow [x, y]d(u) + [x, u]d(y) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow [x, u]d(y) &= -[x, y]d(u) = [y, x]d(u) \quad \dots \dots \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

If we replace z by $d(u)$ in equ.(19) and using equ.(20), then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow [x, y]d(u)z[x, u]d(y) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow -[x, y]d(u)z[x, y]d(u) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow [x, y]d(u)z[x, y]d(u) &= 0 \quad \dots \dots \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

Since R is semiprime, by equ. (21) we get, $[x, y]d(u) = 0$, for all x, y, u in

R . By [2] (i.e., Rings within volution–I.N.Herstein), $d(u) \in Z$, for all $u \in R$. This shows that d is a Jordan reversed derivation on R which maps R into its center. ■

REFERENCES

1. Herstein. I. N. Jordan derivations of prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1104-1110.
2. Herstein. I. N. Rings with involution, Univ. of Chicago press, Chicago, 1976.
3. Suvarna. K. and Irfana. D. S. Triple derivations of semi prime rings, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Application, Vol.5, May (2011), 117-121.

